

## Shipley & Canal Road Corridor Area Action Plan Proposed Main Modifications – 5th July 2017 Representation Form

For Office Use only:	
Date	
Ref	

The Council are seeking comments on the Proposed Main Modifications to the Shipley & Canal Road Corridor, following the Examination in Public. The changes are proposed by the Council to address issues of legal compliance and soundness and we can only accept representations on these matters.

Comments on the Proposed Main Modifications Schedule are invited from **Wednesday 5<sup>th</sup> July 2017 until Wednesday 16<sup>th</sup> August 2017.**

**REPRESENTATIONS MUST ONLY RELATE TO THE PROPOSED MAIN MODIFICATIONS.**

You can access the Shipley & Canal Road Corridor documents online and additional copies of this form from our website: [www.bradford.gov.uk/planningpolicy](http://www.bradford.gov.uk/planningpolicy) then 'Shipley & Canal Road Corridor Proposed Main Modifications', or you may request copies by:

- Emailing us at: [planning.policy@bradford.gov.uk](mailto:planning.policy@bradford.gov.uk)
- Phoning us on: (01274) 433679

Completed representation forms must be returned to Development Plans, by the deadline below, by either:

- **E-mail to:** [planning.policy@bradford.gov.uk](mailto:planning.policy@bradford.gov.uk)
- **Post to:** Shipley & Canal Road Corridor - Proposed Main Modifications  
Development Plans Group  
City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council  
4<sup>th</sup> Floor – Britannia House  
Bradford  
BD1 5RW

**ALL COMMENTS MUST BE MADE IN WRITING AND SHOULD BE RECEIVED  
BY THE DEVELOPMENT PLAN GROUP AT EITHER OF THE ABOVE ADDRESSES  
NO LATER THAN 4PM ON WEDNESDAY 16<sup>TH</sup> AUGUST 2017.**

### Personal Details & Data Protection Act 1998

Regulation 22 of the Town & Country Planning (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2012 requires all representations received to be submitted to the Secretary of State. By completing this form you are giving your consent to the processing of personal data by the City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council and that any information received by the Council, including personal data may be put into the public domain, including on the Council's website. From the details above for you and your agent (if applicable) the Council will only publish your title, last name, organisation (if relevant) and town name or post code district.

Please note that the Council cannot accept any anonymous comments.



# Shipley & Canal Road Corridor Area Action Plan Proposed Main Modifications - Representation Form

For Office Use only:	
Date	
Ref	

## PART A: PERSONAL DETAILS

\* If an agent has been appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation in box 1 below and complete the full contact details of the agent in box 2.

	1. YOUR DETAILS*	2. AGENT DETAILS (if applicable)	
Title	Mr		
First Name	██████		
Last Name	██████		
Job Title <small>(where relevant to this representation)</small>	Area Planner		
Organisation <small>(where relevant to this representation)</small>	Canal & River Trust		
Address Line 1	██████████		
Line 2	██████████		
Line 3	Leeds		
Line 4			
Post Code	LS9 █████		
Telephone Number	██████████		
Email Address	██		
Signature:	██	Date:	11/08/2017

<b>3. Please let us know if you wish to be notified of the following:</b>			
The publication of the Inspector's Report?	Yes	X	No
The adoption of the Shipley & Canal Road Corridor AAP?	Yes	X	No
Are you attaching any additional sheets / documents that relate to this representation?	Yes		No
	No of sheets / documents submitted :		n/a



For Office Use only:	
Date	
Ref	

## ShIPLEY & Canal Road Corridor

### Proposed Main Modifications- Representation Form

#### PART B – YOUR REPRESENTATION - *Please use a separate sheet for each representation.*

*(Additional Part B forms can be downloaded from the web page)*

#### 4. To which proposed main modification does this representation relate?

Proposed Main Modification number:

SCRCAAP MM012

#### 5. Do support or object the proposed main modification?

Support

Object

#### 6. Do you consider the proposed main modification to be 'legally compliant'?

Yes

No

#### 7. Do you consider the proposed main modification to be 'sound'?

Yes

No – 'unsound'

#### 8. If you consider the proposed main modification to be 'unsound', please identify which test of soundness your comments relate to?

Positively prepared

Justified

Effective

Consistent with National Planning Policy (the NPPF)

#### 9. Please give details of why you consider the proposed main modification is not legally compliant or is unsound in light of the main modifications proposed. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the proposed main modification please use this box to set out your comments.

**(Please note:** Your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support / justify the representation and the suggested change. It is important that your representation relates to the proposed main modifications).

*The allocation site is adjacent to the route of the Bradford Canal, which linked Bradford City Centre with the Leeds and Liverpool Canal at Shipley.*

*We welcome the additional text for this site allocation, which recognises the need to evaluate the archaeological features associated with the operation of this canal. This would be in accordance with the aims of paragraph 126 of the National Planning Policy Framework, which seeks to ensure Local Plans conserve heritage assets in a manner appropriate to their significance. Such evaluation as required by the policy would be required to ensure this.*

*We are concerned, however, that the date range for the canal "18<sup>th</sup>/ early 19<sup>th</sup> century" could be construed to only request that assets from this era are evaluated. The canal opened in 1774 and closed in 1922. As a result, the wording should be amended so that the policy is precise. A focus on only late 18<sup>th</sup> century*



*and early 19<sup>th</sup> century assets would not be the most appropriate strategy, and would not be justified, given that later canal use also has a heritage value. An example of how this could be re-worded is provided below:*

*“The site will need evaluation with regard to the potential presence of archaeological features associated with the operation of the Bradford Canal, which operated between the 18<sup>th</sup> and early 20<sup>th</sup> Century”*

**10. Please set out what changes you consider necessary to make the proposed main modification legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at Q7 above.**

**You need to say why this change will make the proposed main modification legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.**

*The wording should be amended so that the policy is precise and refers to the entire time period when the heritage asset was in use. An example of how this could be re-worded is provided below:*

*“The site will need evaluation with regard to the potential presence of archaeological features associated with the operation of the Bradford Canal, which operated between the 18<sup>th</sup> and early 20<sup>th</sup> Century”*

**11. Signature:**



**Date:** 11.08.2017

**Thank you for taking the time to complete this Representation Form.**